



ILE MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
JOURNAL

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



ILE MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
JOURNAL

WHILE THERE'S RESEARCH THERE'S HOPE

ILE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL

APIS – 3920 – 0007 | ISSN – 2583-7230

(OPEN ACCESS JOURNAL)

Journal's Home Page – <https://mj.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://mj.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 3 and Issue 1 (Access Full Issue on – <https://mj.iledu.in/category/volume-3-and-issue-1-of-2024/>)

### Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education (Established by I.L.E. Educational Trust)

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 – [info@iledu.in](mailto:info@iledu.in) / [Chairman@iledu.in](mailto:Chairman@iledu.in)



© Institute of Legal Education

**Copyright Disclaimer:** All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://mj.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>



## THE ROLE OF THE ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORIATE IN COMBATING ECONOMIC CRIMES AND CORRUPTION IN INDIA

**AUTHOR** – K. M. SUPRIYA\* & Ms. VAISHALI\*\*, LL.M. SCHOLAR\* & ASSISTANT PROFESSOR\*\* AT TAMIL NADU DR. AMBEDKAR LAW UNIVERSITY

**BEST CITATION** – K. M. SUPRIYA & Ms. VAISHALI, THE ROLE OF THE ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORIATE IN COMBATING ECONOMIC CRIMES AND CORRUPTION IN INDIA, ILE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL, 3 (1) OF 2024, PG. 281-292, APIS – 3920-0007 | ISSN – 2583-7230.

### ABSTRACT:

In order to preserve the integrity and stability of the country's financial system, the Enforcement Directorate (ED) of India is essential in the fight against economic crimes and corruption. This paper delves into the multifaceted functions and jurisdiction of the ED, highlighting its significance in the investigation and prosecution of economic crimes. The ED operates under the aegis of the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, and is mandated to enforce two primary legislations: the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA). The ED's duties include looking into and prosecuting crimes including financial fraud, foreign exchange breaches, and money laundering. The directorate's jurisdiction extends across the entire country, empowering it to take stringent actions against perpetrators of economic crimes. Furthermore, the ED collaborates with various national and international agencies to ensure comprehensive enforcement of laws against economic offenders. This paper aims to explore the challenges, and successes of the Enforcement Directorate in safeguarding India's economic and financial ecosystem.

### INTRODUCTION:

The Enforcement Directorate (ED) is a law enforcement and economic intelligence organisation. It works under the Ministry of Finance's Department of Revenue and is in charge of upholding economic laws and rules to stop money laundering and financial crimes in India. The ED's main responsibility is to protect the nation's economic integrity by looking into and prosecuting crimes involving money laundering, economic fraud, and violations of foreign exchange laws. Its primary objectives include preventing the creation and flow of black money, making sure that foreign exchange rules are adhered to, and preventing money laundering. The Directorate of Enforcement (ED) is a powerful force in India when it comes to investigating and enforcing economic crime. The Directorate of Enforcement was established with the intention of establishing an organisation that could enforce the terms of two special fiscal laws, i.e.,

the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA) and the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA) and to deter financial misconduct, recover proceeds of crime, and bring offenders to justice.

### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

In the current study, the researchers used the doctrinal approach of research. To construct this research, the researcher had collected secondary data from the legislations – the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) and also the subsequent amendments in these legislations and various other Articles, Journals, Books, Web sources. The researchers further referred various articles published in reputed journals to update the research. They also examined the ultimate role of enforcement directorate in curbing the various economic crimes in India.



## OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

The foremost most object of this study is to critically examine the Enforcement Directorate's role in combating economic crimes and corruption in India. This includes analyzing its legal framework, operational strategies, and effectiveness of the Enforcement Directorate in investigating and prosecuting cases of money laundering, financial fraud. The study aims to evaluate the impact of the Directorate's efforts on reducing economic crime and corruption and provide recommendations for enhancing its effectiveness in ensuring financial integrity and upholding the rule of law in India. The study also tries to identify challenges faced by the Enforcement Directorate, including operational, legal, and institutional limitations.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

1. **Abhinandan Malik's "Money Laundering: Law, Policy, and Practice" (2018)**, Eastern Book Company

This book examines the issue of money laundering from legal, policy, and practical perspectives. It delves into the functioning of the **PMLA, 2002**, and discusses the role of agencies like the Enforcement Directorate in curbing financial offenses.

2. **N.V. Paranjape's "Economic Offences: Law and Practice" (2021)**, Central Law Agency

This book provides an in-depth study of economic offenses, including money laundering, financial fraud, and corruption. It explains the powers of investigative agencies, including the Enforcement Directorate, under relevant laws such as the PMLA and FEMA.

3. **Dr. Rajesh Gupta's "Law Relating to Money Laundering in India" (2019)**, Bharat Law House

This book extensively covers the legal framework governing money laundering in India, particularly the **PMLA, 2002**, and

related rules. It also highlights the role and powers of the Enforcement Directorate as the primary investigative agency.

## RESEARCH QUESTION:

1. How effective is the Enforcement Directorate in detecting, investigating, and prosecuting economic crimes and corruption in India?
2. What are the key challenges faced by the Enforcement Directorate in its efforts to combat economic crimes and corruption?
3. How does the existing legal framework, including the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), support or hinder the Enforcement Directorate's mission?
4. To what extent has the Enforcement Directorate's efforts contributed to reducing economic crimes and corruption in India?

## WHAT IS ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE?

The Enforcement Directorate, which focuses on both law enforcement and economic intelligence in India, is responsible for combating economic crime and enforcing economic rules. It was established under the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act of 1947 to deal with infractions of the Exchange Control Law. Originally founded in 1956 as a "Enforcement Unit" under the Department of Economic Affairs. In 1957, it changed its name to the Enforcement Directorate (ED). It operates under the Ministry of Finance's Department of Revenue. The Department of Economic Affairs is in charge of the FEMA's policy aspects, law, and any amendments to it, whereas the Directorate reports to the Department of Revenue for administrative functions. However, all policy issues pertaining to the PML Act are under the jurisdiction of the Department of Revenue. Prior to FEMA's implementation on June 1st, 2000, the Directorate enforced regulations under the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act of 1973.





HEADQUARTERS: New Delhi, India

REGIONAL OFFICES: Chandigarh, Kolkata,  
Chennai, and Mumbai.

### HISTORICAL CONTEXT:

The following are key events that played a crucial role in the establishment of the Enforcement Directorate (ED):

- The Directorate was founded on May 1, 1956, when the Department of Economic Affairs created an "Enforcement Unit" to address violations of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA) of 1947, which regulates exchange control laws.
  - A Legal Service Officer was appointed as the Director of Enforcement, with the organization's headquarters located in Delhi.
  - The unit had two branches situated in Bombay and Calcutta.
- Renamed the "Enforcement Directorate," a second branch was established in Madras in 1957.
- In 1960, the Department of Revenue assumed administrative control of the Directorate from the Department of Economic Affairs.
- Over time, FERA 1947 was repealed and replaced by FERA 1973.
  - As economic liberalization advanced, FERA 1973 was abolished and succeeded by the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA), which came into effect on June 1, 2000.
  - For the subsequent four years, the Directorate was under the administrative control of the Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms.
- Furthermore, the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA) was enacted in line with the International Anti-Money Laundering framework, assigning the ED the responsibility of enforcement starting July 1, 2005.

- In 2018, the ED was also given responsibility for enforcing the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 (FEOA).

Currently, the Directorate is tasked with the implementation of various laws. Under FEMA, it possesses quasi-judicial powers to investigate allegations of breaches of Exchange Control Laws and Regulations and to penalize offenders. Additionally, the PMLA empowers the Directorate's officers to conduct investigations aimed at identifying, temporarily seizing, or confiscating assets derived from Scheduled Offences, as well as apprehending and prosecuting money launderers.

### LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK:

The Directorate of Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of the following laws:

1. The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA): The primary objective of the PMLA is to combat financial crimes. This criminal legislation was enacted to prevent money laundering and to confiscate assets acquired through illicit financial activities. The Enforcement Directorate (ED) is tasked with investigating and identifying illegal assets. Furthermore, the ED possesses the authority to temporarily seize properties, ensure the prosecution of offenders, and facilitate the confiscation of assets by the Special Court.
2. The Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA): This civil law consolidates and revises the regulations governing international payments and trade. Its aim is to promote the orderly development and regulation of India's foreign exchange market. The Enforcement Directorate is responsible for investigating potential breaches of foreign exchange laws, making determinations, and penalizing those found guilty of violations.
3. The Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 (FEOA): The FEOA aims to deter individuals who commit economic offenses from fleeing abroad to evade the Indian judicial system. The ED has the authority to seize fugitives'



belongings and turn them over to the Central Government.

4. The Foreign Exchange Regulation Act of 1973: Although this law has been repealed, the ED retains the authority to address Show Cause Notices issued prior to May 31, 2002. The ED can prosecute cases under FERA in the appropriate courts and impose penalties as necessary.

5. The Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (COFEPOSA): This legislation empowers the ED to assist in preventive detention cases related to violations of FEMA.

#### ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE'S STRUCTURE:

1. **Hierarchy:** The Directorate of Enforcement is led by the Director, who is based in the main office located in New Delhi.

- The Directorate comprises various officials, including the Director of ED, Special Directors, Joint Directors, Deputy Directors, and other investigative officers, all of whom contribute to its effective functioning.

- Special directors oversee the five regional offices, which are situated in Delhi, Mumbai, Chennai, Chandigarh, and Kolkata.

- Additionally, the Directorate operates 11 sub-Zonal Offices, each managed by an Assistant Director, and 10 zonal offices, each directed by a Deputy Director.

2. **Recruitment:** Officers are recruited either directly or by attracting personnel from other investigative agencies.

- The appointment of the Director of ED is governed by the Central Vigilance Commission Act, 2003 (CVC Act, 2003).

- The Central Government appoints the Director based on the recommendations of a high-level committee, which includes the Central Vigilance Commissioner (CVC), Vigilance Commissioners, and Secretaries from the Ministries of Finance, Personnel, and Home Affairs.

- This committee comprises officers from the Indian Revenue Services (IRS), Indian Police Services (IPS), and Indian Administrative Services (IAS), including those from police, customs, excise, and income tax departments.

3. **Tenure:** According to the CVC Act of 2003, the Director of ED is appointed for a fixed term of two years. However, under the Delhi Special Police Establishment (Amendment) Act, 2021, this term may be extended to a maximum of five years.

#### Jurisdiction of ED :

- Both FEMA and PMLA are applicable across India. Therefore, anyone to whom this act applies may be subject to action from the ED.

- o While PMLA cases will be heard in criminal courts, FEMA cases may be heard in civil courts.

- A person or other legal entity that commits a crime is under the agency's jurisdiction.

- o If any public servant is implicated in a money laundering violation, they are all subject to the agency's jurisdiction.

- ED is not empowered to act on its own. The ED will look into the incident and identify the accused after a complaint has been made to the police or another agency.

- o After conducting an investigation, the ED may seize an accused person's property, make an arrest, and begin the process of violating the PMLA and FEMA acts.

- o Judicial Courts or PMLA courts will decide the case through adjudication.

#### POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE

##### 1. Authority of Investigation:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

As stipulated in Sections 48 and 49 of the PMLA, officers from the Directorate of Enforcement are authorized to conduct investigations into money laundering cases. These officials are



also authorized to conduct prosecutions for money laundering offenses in the designated Special Court and to start the process of property attachment.

Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999

According to Section 37 of FEMA, both the Director and Assistant Director of the Enforcement Directorate are empowered to investigate any breaches of FEMA regulations. The powers conferred upon the Enforcement Directorate are comparable to those held by an Income Tax Officer under the Income Tax Act (ITA), as outlined in Section 131.

Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

In accordance with Section 6 of the FEOA, the Director is vested with the authority to conduct investigations, require the attendance of individuals, compel the submission of documents, and undertake other necessary actions to ascertain whether an individual is classified as a fugitive offender.

## 2. Powers of the Enforcement Directorate During Surveys:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 and Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

The following powers are granted to the investigating authority during a survey under Section 7 of the FEOA and Section 16 of the PMLA:

- Affix identifying marks on the records examined and facilitate the creation or arrangement of extracts or copies.
- Make a list of all the properties that have been examined or confirmed..
- Document the statements of any individuals present who may offer relevant or significant information pertinent to any proceedings under this Act.

"The powers of survey, search and seizure, individual searches, retention of property or records, issuance of summons to ensure the presence of any individual, authority to compel testimony or the provision of documents, along with discovery or inspection, and the capacity to arrest, as conferred by various provisions of

the PMLA, equip the investigative agency established by law with all essential tools for conducting investigations independently of police assistance," the court noted in the Virbhadr Singh & Anr vs. Enforcement Directorate case. Court cognizance must be based on the complaints of the authorities created under the PMLA, who assume the duty for criminal prosecution.

## 3. Powers of the Enforcement Directorate During Search and Seizure:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 and Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

According to Section 17 of the PMLA and Section 8 of the FEOA, the Authorized Officer is endowed with the following powers for conducting search and seizure operations:

1. To enter and search any building, site, ship, car, or airplane when there is a good reason to believe that it contains documents or criminal proceeds.
2. If the keys are not accessible, to forcefully open any door, box, locker, safe, almirah, or other container.
3. To confiscate any documents or assets found during the search.
4. To place identifying marks on such records or properties if necessary, or to create or arrange for the production of extracts or copies.
5. To take notes or compile an inventory of the records or property.
6. To examine under oath any individual who possesses or controls records or property pertinent to any investigation under this Act. In instances where seizing such records or property is impractical, the authorized officer may issue an order to freeze the property, thereby preventing any transfer or dealings without prior consent from the ordering officer.

Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999

As stipulated in Section 37 of FEMA, the Enforcement Directorate is empowered to carry out investigations, including the authority to





search and seize property during these investigations.

#### 4. Authority to Issue Summons:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

Pursuant to Section 50, the Enforcement Directorate (ED) is empowered to summon any individual whose presence is considered essential for providing testimony or submitting documents during an investigation or proceeding under this legislation. These proceedings are recognized as judicial in nature, as per Sections 193 and 228 of the Indian Penal Code.

Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

Section 6 of the FEOA grants the Director the power to issue summons to ensure the attendance of individuals, compel the submission of records, receive evidence via affidavits, and facilitate the discovery and inspection of documents, among other objectives.

Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999

The Director or Assistant Director of the ED has the authority to summon people in accordance with Section 37(3) of the FEMA. In the case of K.A. Manshoor vs. Assistant Director, Enforcement Directorate, Government of India, the Court examined the extent of this authority and came to the conclusion that "the summoned individual cannot challenge the summons in court through a writ when the Director or Assistant Director of the ED issues a summons under Section 37(3) of FEMA." The power to summon an individual for the purpose of producing relevant documents is part of the preliminary investigation conducted by the ED and does not classify a person as an 'accused' under the law. Therefore, the issuance of a summons does not infringe upon any individual's rights, and they are not entitled to approach a court to contest it." "The ED, established under Section 36 of FEMA, possesses the authority to exercise powers and perform duties as delineated in Section 37 of the

same Act, which specifies the extent of such powers held by the officers," was the ruling in the Suman Sehgal v. Union of India case.

#### 5. Authority to Search Individuals:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 and Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

Pursuant to Section 18 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and Section 9 of the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (FEOA), if the Authorized Authority has reasonable grounds to suspect, with the justification for such suspicion documented in writing, that an individual has concealed any records or proceeds of crime within their person or in items under their possession, ownership, or control, they are empowered to conduct a search of that individual. Furthermore, they may seize any records or property deemed relevant or useful for any proceedings under the applicable legislation.

#### 6. Attachment of Property:

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

Pursuant to Section 5 of the PMLA, if the Director or any officer designated by the Director, holding a rank of Deputy Director or above, has reasonable grounds to suspect, based on available information, that an individual possesses proceeds of crime that may be concealed, transferred, or otherwise managed in a manner that could hinder confiscation proceedings, he is empowered to issue a written order for the provisional attachment of that property for a maximum period of 180 days from the date of the order, in accordance with the established procedure.

Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

As stipulated in Section 5 of the FEOA, the Director or an authorized officer may attach any property mentioned in the application for declaring an individual a fugitive economic offender, subject to the approval of the Special Court. Additionally, the Director may attach the property prior to the application being filed if there is reasonable belief that it constitutes proceeds of crime or is property, including





benami property, belonging to a person identified as a fugitive economic offender, and is being or may be managed in a manner that could make the property unavailable for confiscation. Property attached under this section will be held in effect for a maximum of 108 days from the date of the attachment order, or for any longer time frame allowed by the Special Court prior to the first period's expiration.

### **7. Recovery of Fines, Penalties, and Arrears of Penalties:**

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

As stipulated in Section 69, if an individual fails to pay a fine imposed under Section 13 or Section 63 for a period exceeding six months from the date of imposition, the Director, the Director of Enforcement, or any designated officer is empowered to initiate recovery proceedings against that individual. This recovery will be conducted in accordance with the procedures outlined in Schedule II of the Income Tax Act, 1961, which specifies the methods for recovering arrears. The Director or any authorized officer will be endowed with all the powers conferred upon the Tax Recovery Officer as detailed in that Schedule for this purpose.

Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999

The Adjudicating Authority may order Enforcement Directorate officials to pursue penalty collection from any individual who does not make payment within the allotted 90 days in accordance with Section 14A of FEMA.

### **8. Appeals:**

Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

Pursuant to Section 26, the Director or any party adversely affected by a ruling of the Adjudicating Authority under this Act has the right to appeal to the Appellate Tribunal within a period of forty-five days from the receipt of the order issued by the Adjudicating Authority. Furthermore, Section 42 stipulates that any

individual who is dissatisfied with a decision rendered by the Appellate Tribunal may appeal to the High Court within sixty days from the notification of the Tribunal's decision or order, concerning any legal or factual issues that may arise from such order.

Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018

As outlined in Section 17, any individual may appeal to the High Court against an order issued by a Special Court within thirty days of receiving a copy of that order, which pertains to both legal and factual matters arising from it.

Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999

According to Section 17, any individual, including the Assistant Director of Enforcement or a Deputy Director of Enforcement, is permitted to file an appeal with the Special Director (Appeals) against an order from the Adjudicating Authority within forty-five days of receiving a copy of that order. Additionally, an appeal may be made to the Appellate Tribunal in accordance with Section 18.

### **POWERS OF THE ED UNDER PMLA, 2002**

Retention of Records Seized During Investigation:

Pursuant to Section 50, the Enforcement Directorate (ED) is authorized to seize and retain any documents presented during proceedings under this Act for a period it considers appropriate. However, neither an Assistant Director nor a Deputy Director may seize documents without providing a written justification, nor may they retain such documents for more than three months without obtaining prior approval from the Director.

Time Limitations

In accordance with Sections 20 and 21, any property or documents that are seized or frozen may be retained for a maximum of 180 days from the date of seizure or freezing, unless the Adjudicating Authority under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) grants permission for the retention of such documents or property beyond this 180-day period.



### Release of Seized/Frozen Records

As stipulated in Section 21, following the issuance of a confiscation order concerning assets under Section 8, the Adjudicating Authority is obligated to order the return of the documents to the individual from whom they were seized. Nevertheless, the Director or another authorized officer may postpone the release of these documents for a period of up to ninety days if the Director of Enforcement determines that the documents are relevant to the appeal processes under this Act.

### Admissibility of Statements Recorded Before the ED

Statements obtained by the Investigating Officer under the PMLA are admissible as evidence in court, as the proceedings under Sections 50(2) and 50(3) of the Act are classified as judicial proceedings in accordance with Sections 193 and 228 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC).

### POWERS OF THE ED UNDER FEMA, 2018

#### Adjudication

Pursuant to Section 16 of the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), the Central Government is empowered to appoint officers from the Enforcement Directorate as Adjudicating Authorities to investigate alleged violations of the Act. These designated officers have the authority to require the accused to furnish a bond of guarantee if there is a concern that the individual may evade legal consequences or avoid payment of penalties. The Adjudicating Authority is obligated to complete the inquiry and render a decision within a stipulated period not exceeding one year.

In accordance with Section 14, should the offender fail to remit the imposed penalty within 90 days, the Adjudicating Authority is authorized to issue an arrest warrant against the individual. Prior to this action, the Authority must notify the defaulter, allowing them the chance to provide an explanation for the non-payment. If the Authority finds the defaulter's explanation

unsatisfactory and harbors suspicions that the individual may abscond or hinder the payment process, it may proceed to issue an arrest warrant. Additionally, an arrest warrant may be issued if the defaulter fails to appear or respond to the Authority following the Notice.

### PREVENTIVE MEASURES AND INITIATIVES:

The Enforcement Directorate possesses the jurisdiction to operate under one or both of the previously mentioned statutes. In contrast, matters pertaining to the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) are resolved in Civil Courts, while cases under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) are adjudicated in Criminal Courts. The status of an individual, whether a politician or a businessperson, does not influence the ED's activities, as it functions impartially against any individual or entity that commits an offense. Should a public servant be implicated in money laundering activities, they fall within the agency's purview. Complaints submitted to the Enforcement Directorate typically involve economic offenses governed by either FEMA or PMLA, or both, which the agency will address.

#### FEMA-related offenses:

The appointed officials of the Enforcement Directorate are tasked with investigating and adjudicating violations under the Foreign Exchange Management Act and the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act of 1947. FEMA violations may include failure to realize foreign exchange, engaging in illicit foreign exchange transactions, and dealing with non-repatriable foreign currencies. In cases of such violations, the Enforcement Directorate may arrest the offender or impose a penalty of up to three times the amount involved.

#### PMLA-related offenses:

The Enforcement Directorate is authorized to search, seize, freeze, or attach the assets of individuals convicted of offenses under the PMLA. These actions are categorized as criminal offenses and are treated as such.



Cases under the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act:

Economic offenders are prohibited by the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act of 2018 from avoiding legal consequences by continuing to operate outside the nation's borders. Under this legislation, the Enforcement Directorate is empowered to collaborate with the Government of India and international authorities to locate and apprehend the accused.

### **Various Methods for Filing a Complaint with the Enforcement Directorate:**

#### **Step 1: Filing Complaints with Other Agencies**

Individuals seeking to report issues pertaining to the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) and the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) must first submit their complaints to an alternative agency or the police department, rather than directly to the Enforcement Directorate. It is essential for a person to lodge a complaint with another agency or the police prior to engaging with the Enforcement Directorate. Once this initial complaint is filed, the matter will be forwarded to the Enforcement Directorate for further investigation and appropriate action against the alleged offenders. The PMLA consists of 157 sections that outline various offences related to money laundering. Should any offence cited in the complaint fall within these specified sections, the Enforcement Directorate is authorized to take direct action on the complaint. Directorate officials possess the authority to conduct investigations, carry out searches, and seize assets belonging to individuals implicated in the complaint.

#### **Step 2: Submitting Complaints Directly to the Enforcement Directorate**

Although individuals are not allowed to approach the Enforcement Directorate directly, they may still send complaints concerning illegal activities associated with money laundering and foreign exchange to the designated office address. Furthermore,

individuals have the option to file an application with a court, which may then refer the case directly to the Enforcement Directorate for investigation.

### **Investigation Process Following Complaint Filing:**

1. **Location or Individual Search:** Upon the registration of complaints with the Enforcement Directorate by any agency or police, the Directorate's officers are required to notify the Magistrate as per Section 157 (CrPC). Upon receiving the Magistrate's consent, these officers are authorized to conduct searches of any premises, properties, or vehicles associated with the accused, including the ability to forcibly enter safes or lockers when deemed necessary. Additionally, officers may search any individual if they possess reasonable grounds to suspect that the person is involved in criminal activity. Under Section 19 of the PMLA, officers are empowered to arrest individuals if they have sufficient cause to believe they are engaged in money laundering.
2. **Property Seizure or Confiscation:** Enforcement Directorate officials are empowered to seize or confiscate any property if they have reasonable grounds to believe it is linked to a money laundering investigation. The provisions regarding searches and seizures of property related to laundered funds are detailed in Sections 17 and 18 of the PMLA.
3. **Report Compilation:** Upon concluding the investigation, the officers will draft a report that encompasses detailed information regarding the investigation, including the individuals or locations involved, particulars of any seized properties, and information regarding any arrests made.
4. **Subsequent Actions Based on the Report:** Following the completion of the report, the matter may be resolved through the Enforcement Directorate's adjudicatory





body or may be escalated to the CBI court or the Supreme Court. The affected party retains the right to appeal to a higher court. For the purpose of appeals, the agency has established its own appellate panel. In cases of violations of FEMA provisions, the court may impose fines of up to three times the amount involved, in addition to the possibility of rigorous imprisonment for a term of up to seven years.

### Legislative Initiatives:

The legal framework governing the Enforcement Directorate (ED) has undergone significant changes aimed at enhancing its effectiveness and adapting to the evolving nature of economic crimes. Key amendments include:

#### 1. Amendments to the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA)

The PMLA has seen several revisions to strengthen the legal measures against money laundering:

- 2009 Revision: Expanded the definition of 'proceeds of crime' and introduced additional predicate offenses, thereby broadening the scope of the law.

- 2012 Revision: Included clauses allowing property worth the amount of foreign crime proceeds to be seized.

- 2019 Revision: Imposed stricter bail conditions and augmented the ED's powers to attach assets during investigations.

#### 2. Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (FEOA), 2018

The enactment of the FEOA represented a crucial advancement in tackling the issue of fugitive economic offenders. This law permits the confiscation of assets belonging to individuals who evade legal action by remaining outside the jurisdiction of Indian courts. The ED is empowered to take decisive measures against such offenders, ensuring that economic crimes are addressed despite jurisdictional challenges.

#### 3. Amendments to the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA)

FEMA has been periodically updated to align with changing economic policies and international best practices. These revisions aim to facilitate trade, promote foreign investment, and uphold a robust regulatory framework for foreign exchange transactions. The ED plays a critical role in enforcing FEMA, which is essential for the orderly development and functioning of India's foreign exchange market.

### Relevant Case Laws:

#### 1. 2G Spectrum Case:

A prominent case investigated by the Enforcement Directorate (ED) involved alleged misconduct in the distribution of 2G spectrum licenses. Originating in 2008, this case led to the prosecution of numerous high-ranking officials and corporate executives. Because of the ED's focus on the money laundering issue, properties worth hundreds of crores were seized. This case underscored the ED's commitment to combating corruption at the highest echelons and recovering assets derived from illicit activities.

#### 2. Commonwealth Games Scam:

The 2010 Commonwealth Games held in Delhi were marred by serious allegations of financial misconduct and corruption. The ED played a crucial role in investigating the money laundering elements of the scam. A number of individuals, including senior officials, were examined, and properties of significant worth were seized. This case highlighted the ED's responsibility in maintaining financial integrity in large-scale public initiatives.

#### 3. PNB Fraud Case:

The Punjab National Bank (PNB) fraud case, involving diamond trader Nirav Modi and his relative Mehul Choksi, is recognized as one of the most significant banking frauds in India's history. The ED's investigation revealed extensive money laundering activities, resulting in the attachment of properties both within India and





abroad. This case emphasized the ED's critical role in tackling complex financial frauds and ensuring that offenders are held accountable under the law.

#### 4. Vijay Mallya Case:

The inability of business tycoon Vijay Mallya to repay loans taken for Kingfisher Airlines led to allegations of financial misconduct and money laundering. The ED was instrumental in investigating these allegations and seizing Mallya's assets both in India and internationally. This case exemplifies the ED's commitment to pursuing fugitive economic offenders in accordance with the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act.

#### CHALLENGES FACED BY ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORIATE:

The Enforcement Directorate (ED) faces several significant challenges in fulfilling its mandate. These challenges include:

1. **Insufficient Resources:** The ED operates with a limited budget, which constrains its ability to investigate and prosecute economic offenses effectively.

2. **Limited Collaboration with Other Law Enforcement Agencies:** The ED often struggles to secure cooperation from the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) and other law enforcement bodies, including local police. This lack of collaboration can hinder the development of robust cases against economic offenders.

3. **Intricacy of Economic Crime Investigations:** The nature of economic crime cases is often complex and requires considerable time and effort to investigate, which can complicate the process of securing convictions.

4. **Political Interference:** The ED has faced allegations of political influence, which can impede its ability to operate with the necessary impartiality and independence.

5. **Legal Challenges:** The ED encounters various legal hurdles when prosecuting offenders, particularly those residing outside

India or possessing multiple citizenships. Offenders can exploit legal provisions to contest property attachments, thereby evading investigations under certain sections of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA).

6. **Difficulty in Securing International Cooperation:** The ED frequently faces obstacles in obtaining assistance from foreign jurisdictions during investigations. Stringent privacy laws in many countries complicate the collection of information related to bank accounts and financial transactions.

#### ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION:

In order to preserve the integrity of India's financial system and make sure that economic offences are thoroughly investigated and prosecuted, the Enforcement Directorate (ED), also known as the Directorate of Enforcement, is essential. This helps to create the conditions for long-term growth and development. It is one of the principal organisations in charge of preserving the nation's financial stability and preventing financial crimes. The ED has made great strides in the fight against economic crimes in India, despite a number of obstacles. In addition to recovering a substantial sum of money from economic criminals, the agency has looked into and prosecuted several high-profile instances. One of the ED's goals is to fight transnational financial crimes by working with other national and international organisations to promote an open and equitable economic environment. The Enforcement Directorate, a premier financial investigative agency of the Government of India, functions in full compliance with Indian law and the Constitution. The ED can be even more successful in defending the Indian economy against criminals with the correct assistance.

#### REFERENCE:

##### BOOKS:

1. **Abhinandan Malik's "Money Laundering: Law, Policy, and Practice" (2018)**, Eastern Book Company



2. **N.V. Paranjape's "Economic Offences: Law and Practice" (2021)**, Central Law Agency
3. **Dr. Rajesh Gupta's "Law Relating to Money Laundering in India" (2019)**, Bharat Law House
4. Paul H. Cohen and Arthur Marriott QC's "International Corruption", South Asian Edition 2012, Thomas Reuters (Legal) Limited.
5. Fritz Heimann and Mark Pieth's "Confronting Corruption", Oxford University Press 2018.

#### ARTICLES:

1. Next Ias Team, Enforcement Directorate (ED), < [https://www.nextias.com/blog/enforcement-directorate-ed/#What\\_is\\_Enforcement\\_Directorate\\_ED](https://www.nextias.com/blog/enforcement-directorate-ed/#What_is_Enforcement_Directorate_ED) > , accessed on 12 th of November 2024.
2. Saarthak Mongia & Palak Chhabra, POWERS OF ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF MONEY LAUNDERING ACT, 2002", Indian Journal of Law and Legal Research, < 3IndianJLLegalRsch1.pdf> accessed on 27 th of November 2024
3. Samisti legal law firm , Powers of the Enforcement Directorate Under Various Laws and the Rights of the Accused/Aggrieved Persons, < <https://samistilegal.in/powers-of-the-enforcement-directorate-under-various-laws-and-the-rights-of-the-accused-aggrieved-persons/#> > , accessed on 15 th of November 2024.
4. Testbook team, Enforcement Directorate (ED) - History, Structure, Objectives, Functions, Achievements , Criticism & More, < <https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/enforcement-directorate-ed> > accessed on 21 th of November 2024.