



FINANCIAL REGULATION AND CORPORATE FRAUD IN THE DIGITAL AGE

AUTHOR – MRS. USHARANI MC* & NIVEDITA SAHU**, LL.M SCHOLAR (BUSINESS LAW)* & ASSISTANT PROFESSOR* AT JSS LAW COLLEGE, MYSURU

BEST CITATION – MRS. USHARANI MC & NIVEDITA SAHU, FINANCIAL REGULATION AND CORPORATE FRAUD IN THE DIGITAL AGE, ILE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL, 3 (1) OF 2024, PG. 225-250, APIS – 3920-0007 | ISSN – 2583-7230.

ABSTRACT

This article investigates the changes in financial governance structures that have occurred in response to the rising incidences of corporate misconduct in this digital age. This is the time when the much embraced digital advances are changing the dynamics of financial services and commerce with an opportunistic age that has never been experienced before in the world. As banks embrace mobile banking, more so to the advent of cryptocurrencies, the internet, and other high technological applications, activities associated with financial services have transcended the conventional boundaries as well as opened inviting portals for fraudulent acts. These customary structures of regulation were fit to combat discrimination only within the organized financial economy, thus after recovering from leagues of counterfeits, they are unable to cope up with the highly digitized modern finance.

This article elucidates the research on the emerging developments in these areas, focusing on examples where new or altered policies and practices have addressed problems related to digital frauds like antisocial behaviors in cyberspace and the threat posed by the informal economy in the emergence of new currencies. The national authorities and international organizations are more and more adopting high tech in the combat of the risks. The other important in the list of technologies is the one facilitated by the use of artificial intelligence in transactions monitoring and the use of blockchain, which enable faster and clearer detection of any suspicious activity, as well as provide safe and secure databases of records. It is also reported that international spending is helpful when dealing with problems of a financial crime in an ever-growing digital economy.

A risk is clearly shown by the research but a few more useful fraud detecting instruments are presented. Still this would be mainly conditional to the pace of technological advancements in relation to the pace of the different regulatory measures being put in place to check such advances. Thus, the ideals of the century demand for regulatory change to keep pace with the technological one.

Therefore, the horizon of the century asks for regulatory evolution to adapt with technological innovation so as to ensure production of protection shields in the twenty-first century against financially sophisticated fraudulent tips.

KEYWORDS : Digital Finance, Corporate Fraud, Regulatory Challenges, Cryptocurrency Fraud, Artificial Intelligence (AI), International Cooperation

INTRODUCTION

The Digital Revolution of Finance

The sudden impact of the digital revolution on financial markets has created both new avenues of growth and financial inclusion and innovation. There has also been an expansive change in the nature of financial services delivery. The way banks work and transactions are conducted is rapidly changing toward

digitally dependent platforms—from online banking and exchanges for cryptocurrencies to blockchain-based solutions and automated trading systems. This shift has also been marred by a surge in corporate fraud.³³⁷ While digital finance allows access to financial services on a

³³⁷ S. K. Jain, "The Yes Bank Crisis and Digital Finance Governance," *Economic Times*, March 10, 2020, www.economicstimes.indiatimes.com.



much more extensive scale, it also creates new risks, in the form of fraud opportunities, since anonymity, speed, and decentralization inherent in the nature of digital transactions exploit those. This article traces the intersection of financial regulation with corporate fraud in the digital era by setting light on regulatory challenges that regulators have faced while dealing with it, some notable fraud cases, and the role emerging technologies play in mitigating fraud risks.³³⁸

Digital Transformation of Finance

This has digitized financial transactions and brings access to financial services in an entirely new sense. The financial product and service innovations of digital banking, cryptocurrencies, mobile fintech applications and online trading platforms opened up hitherto exclusively held financial products and services.³³⁹ This opened access to millions of unbanked citizens of India under the aegis of Prime Minister Narendra Modi's Digital India initiative. This power has enabled people and businesses to conduct online transactions, thereby transforming the global financial ecosystem from an inaccessible, relatively less efficient network to a more accessible and, hence, an efficient network.

However, with that boom in digital transformation come unprecedented benefits with new vulnerabilities to be exploited. The decentralization of digital finance literally translates to transactions that sometimes take place without the oversight or intervention of centralized regulatory bodies. Such gaps have been exploited by fraudsters to find novel means, leading to new forms of corporate fraud. Some of these emerging forms include cyberattacks, identity theft, Ponzi schemes, and cryptocurrency fraud.³⁴⁰

Emerging Forms of Fraud

Thus, digital finance has led to new frauds that exploit system weaknesses. The fraudsters take

advantage of such weaknesses by necessitating their mischievous activities, hence exploiting the swift and anonymous nature of cross-border transactions. Among these fraudulent ventures are those associated with cryptocurrencies, including Ponzi schemes, fraudulent ICOs, and pump-and-dump schemes that have thrived. One of the advantages that makes these decentralized virtual currencies, including Bitcoin and Ethereum, tough to track and trace fraudulent activities is that it becomes quite hard for regulators.³⁴¹

The emerging threats did not leave India untouched as well. One of the biggest corporate frauds that are heard of recently is the Punjab National Bank scam of 2018, when some insiders could withdraw over ₹11,000 crore with the misuse of the SWIFT messaging system through a combination of forged documents and connivance with the bank officials. The scandal also exploited the fact that the bank had no regulatory oversight and little internal control.³⁴² Therefore, the scandals highlighted deep weaknesses in India's banking systems, especially in digital systems that allowed cross-border transactions to be fraudulent.

Similarly, the 2020 Yes Bank crisis reflected the vulnerabilities accompanying rapid digitization of financial services in India. This crisis was not fully a digital affair; the risks were amplified by trading and lending via digital platforms. The systemic problems of finance governance exposed the failure of Yes Bank. Ease in digital transfer and a lack of transparency enabled fraudulent breeding. It was quite challenging to ensure proper security practice and digital monitoring for the reduction of risks.³⁴³

Digital Regulation Challenges

With the development of digital finance, traditional financial regulation has lagged in complexity and velocity along the spectrum of change. While this kind of fraud can be pretty

³³⁸ Reserve Bank of India, *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2022-23*, Reserve Bank of India, 2023, pp. 21-23.

³³⁹ P. Raghavan, "Digital India: Bridging the Financial Inclusion Gap," *The Hindu Business Line*, September 20, 2022, www.thehindubusinessline.com.

³⁴⁰ World Economic Forum, "The Rise of Cybercrime and Fraud in the Digital Economy," *WEF Report*, June 2021, www.weforum.org.

³⁴¹ R. V. Gidwani, *The Dark Side of Digital Finance: Cryptocurrency Scams and the Evolving Threat Landscape*, Journal of Financial Regulation, 2021, pp. 45-47.

³⁴² Reserve Bank of India, *Annual Report on the Financial Sector 2018-19*, Reserve Bank of India, 2019, p. 56.

³⁴³ S. K. Jain, "The Yes Bank Crisis and Digital Finance Governance," *Economic Times*, March 10, 2020, www.economicstimes.indiatimes.com.



well mitigated through related regulations for a static, paper-based economy, such regulations are frequently ill-suited to address the advanced technologies leveraged by a sophisticated fraudster who may use the digital platform. Some of these regulatory challenges include cybersecurity, cross-border transaction issues, anonymity, and market manipulation capacity through decentralized systems such as cryptocurrency.³⁴⁴

India is serviced by the Reserve Bank of India, which has its number one task in regulating the digital finance segment, and the Securities and Exchange Board of India. RBI has framed rules to enhance cybersecurity and practices among banks with audits, and risk management frameworks that make the risk of digital fraud less. The SEBI has also attempted to regulate the online trading portals so as to have better market transparency and protection of investors. Though the outcome is still fragmented in many respects, especially while dealing with the cryptocurrencies and the decentralized finance systems called DeFi.³⁴⁵

The major challenge the regulators are facing is that all these innovations seem to appear overnight at this frenetic pace. Raghuram Rajan of his book *Fault Lines* opines that "regulation must evolve as the financial system changes," With new technologies coming into day-to-day life, regulators must get in front of the game and prepare frameworks which can encourage innovation as well as secure against fraud.³⁴⁶

Though the decentralized nature of cryptocurrency, border crossing was a severe pain for regulatory bodies while imposing standards upon it. Cryptocurrency exchange and blockchain technology development generate the evolution of regulation. The systems were operating out of the traditional financial systems, making really hard paths for fraud identification and prevention.

Role of Technology in Anti-Fraud Warfare

There are these new risks from new technologies, but there are also these new technologies corporations can use to fight back against fraud. Among such real-time fraud detection technologies that exist today include AI and ML. AI scans humongous data on transactions, identifies suspicious patterns, and blocks possible fraud before it increases further. Through an immutable, decentralized ledger, blockchain technology introduces clear transparency and traceability, making it increasingly difficult for fraudsters to manipulate the data or engage in some illegal activities.³⁴⁷

These technologies are gradually being implemented in institutions starting from India for the prevention and detection of frauds. For example, the Indian Banks' Association has been associated with more than a few banks to devise AI-based systems for detecting frauds and have been able to identify suspicious transactions in real time. Blockchain technology is also under research for remittances, trade financing, and cross-border payments to make sure greater transparency and security happen.³⁴⁸ Of course, these are pretty promising technologies, but they also create some problems. It seems that there is more of a tendency of AI to algorithmic biases, referring to discrimination in fraud detection.³⁴⁹ Blockchain is decentralized, making it hard to regulate and enforce central rules about issues related to vulnerabilities in smart contracts or privacy concerns.

Need for International Cooperation

- **The Increasing Demand for Intergovernmental Collaboration to Fight Digital Financial Fraud**

By its nature, digital finance will be a global enterprise: online transactions, anchored on various bases of different online platforms,

³⁴⁴ J. L. Ferguson, *Cybersecurity Challenges in the Digital Finance Landscape*, *International Journal of Financial Regulation*, 2022, pp. 29-34.

³⁴⁵ Reserve Bank of India, *Cybersecurity Framework for Banks: Annual Review 2020*, Reserve Bank of India, 2020, p. 72.

³⁴⁶ R. Rajan, *Fault Lines: How Hidden Fractures Still Threaten the World Economy*, Princeton University Press, 2010, p. 102.

³⁴⁷ S. B. Patel & R. Kumar, *Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain in Fraud Prevention: A Review of Emerging Technologies*, *International Journal of Digital Finance*, 2023, pp. 10-12.

³⁴⁸ Indian Banks' Association, *Annual Report on Technology and Cybersecurity in Indian Banks*, IBA, 2022, pp. 45-49.

³⁴⁹ A. Gupta & S. Iyer, *Challenges in the Regulation of Blockchain Technologies*, *Journal of Financial Security and Regulation*, 2021, p. 36.



cryptocurrency networks, and blockchains, are by nature transnational since decentralized systems supporting these innovations shun and circumvent traditional intermediaries. The borderless nature of digital finance is as much an opportunity as a challenge to the regulators because ease with which financial crimes, including fraud, can cross country boundaries means that multidimensions within countries must also be impacted. Exploiting this global nature fraudsters operate in countries that have fewer regulations and exploit lack of uniformity in regulatory standards across borders. Hence, fighting digital financial fraud demands an international approach.³⁵⁰

- **Cross-Border Nature of Digital Fraud**

Digital financial fraud thrives on a need for international cooperation since fraudsters operate across several jurisdictions in some instances, taking advantage of the differences in their legal and regulatory frameworks. By first initiating and popularizing scams related to cryptocurrencies and then washing the fruits from this scam through financial systems in countries with more developed financial institutions and controls, for example, by introducing fraud schemes in a country with weak regulatory oversight. This is given that the cryptos are relatively anonymous and decentralized. It makes tracing the flow of funds complicated. Clearly, peer-to-peer transactions mean fraudsters can now easily send money across borders.³⁵¹ This makes it that much harder for the countries to conduct and prosecute cases effectively.

A classic example is the Ponzi scheme known as PlusToken. This is a massive cryptocurrency scam that attracted most of its investments from Chinese citizens but spread far and wide. It is estimated that it fleeced users of over \$2 billion. The criminals took advantage of the different requirements between jurisdictions such that syndicates in different countries could not strategize their attack and bring the

perpetrators to book.³⁵² As the case had a transnational character of digital fraud, the measures of any single country could never be adequate to deal with the magnitude of the problem.³⁵³

International Collaboration Frameworks

Digital financial fraud has now been recognized as a phenomenon with global implications, which has led various international organizations and regulatory bodies to set up platforms that promote cooperation across borders. In this regard, there is the Financial Action Task Force framework, which carries out such cooperation by being an intergovernmental body established in order to combat money laundering, terrorism financing, and other financial crimes. The FATF has been significantly instrumental in encouraging countries to embrace a stringent Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Counter-Terrorism Financing (CFT) regulatory framework as part of the fight against fraud in the digital environment.³⁵⁴

It requires that countries approve and supervise the crypto exchange and other virtual asset service providers under Recommendation 15 as such a specific recommendation about virtual assets. This recommendation would place digital finance on an equivalent footing with traditional financial systems by placing crypto transactions under the same AML and CFT protections as are applied to other types of transactions. Indeed, FATF's work in this context reflects this drive for international cooperation, especially in digital finance, where fraud naturally occurs with more frequency beyond national reach in a world of cross border transactions.³⁵⁵

Organizations like IOSCO and the World Bank also play a great role in international cooperation in preventing financial crimes. IOSCO also provides guidelines to the security

³⁵⁰ S. Sharma, *The Global Nature of Digital Finance and its Regulatory Challenges*, *Journal of International Financial Regulation*, 2022, pp. 5-9.

³⁵¹ R. Jha, *Cross-Border Fraud in the Digital Finance Era*, *International Journal of Financial Crime*, 2021, pp. 120-122.

³⁵² M. Yadav, *The PlusToken Ponzi Scheme: A Case Study in Global Fraud*, *Cryptocurrency Fraud Journal*, 2020, p. 50.

³⁵³ A. Kumar, *Transnational Financial Fraud and the Need for Cross-Border Collaboration*, *Global Fraud Prevention Review*, 2023, pp. 60-63.

³⁵⁴ IOSCO, *Cybersecurity Protocols and Market Integrity: A Global Approach*, International Organization of Securities Commissions, 2022, pp. 35-40.

³⁵⁵ Financial Action Task Force, *FATF Recommendation 15: Ensuring AML/CFT Compliance in Virtual Assets*, FATF, 2020, p. 10.



regulators at a global level to enforce uniform standards which would now include cybersecurity protocols set up in online trading platforms and measurements taken to curb market manipulation. International organizations ensure fraudsters cannot exploit loopholes easily across different jurisdictions.³⁵⁶

International Cooperation and India

Today, India is on the forefront of one of the fastest-growing digital economies which opened its doors to many innovations in fintech, including digital banking and mobile payment, as well as cryptocurrency, but with very keen awareness of some of the risks that such innovations have been associated with. The RBI and SEBI are doing a great job at regulating digital finance. However, as fraud is crossing borders, there is going to be an urgent need for engagement into international efforts from India to strengthen the fight against digital financial crimes.³⁵⁷

India's participation in such international frameworks as the FATF would ensure the security and integrity of the country's digital financial markets. FATF's global standard for the regulation of virtual assets will automatically impact India's bid to regulate the dangers posed by fraudulent activities being conducted based on cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology.³⁵⁸ With this, India can enhance its mechanisms for fraud detection as well as prevention as it brings its laws in parity with international countries. This would make inter-border cooperation much easier.

International cooperation among law-enforcement agencies will also be crucial in checking digital frauds. For the most part, fraud in the digital network spreads rapidly, and prompt action to dismantle the schemes is oftentimes necessary. The Indian authorities will have to cooperate with the law-enforcement agencies of those countries whose citizens are involved in fraud schemes investigated and

prosecuted in several jurisdictions. With increased international cooperation by agencies like the Central Bureau of Investigation, Enforcement Directorate, and Income Tax Department with international law enforcement bodies like Europol and Interpol, information sharing and technology will facilitate clearing all cross-border fraud and money laundering cases in digital finance.³⁵⁹

Sharing of information and data by regulatory bodies and enforcement agencies concerning suspicious activities and emerging fraud schemes is part and parcel of effective international cooperation. Any emerging fraud schemes have to be spread quickly to enable regulators and enforcement agencies around the world in taming this rapidly evolving digital finance ecosystem.³⁶⁰ This will be achieved by the use of information-sharing protocols that will be set in place in order for authorities, even from distant borders, to share information in real-time about fraudulent activities.

In addition to traditional cooperation mechanisms that are already in existence up to this date, newer technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain have also been promoted as a way of further tightening international cooperation. In AI-based tools recording digital transactions, suspicious activities can be highlighted and the regulators in various countries can be informed in real-time to take joint actions.³⁶¹ Similarly, through blockchain technology, illicit transactions can be traced in real-time, and the window to exploit a weak regulatory framework or jurisdiction is closed on that particular occasion.

Conclusion

The financial world is also digitally transforming and represents both opportunities and threats. There is a good increase in the access to financial services via digital platforms; but alongside these have opened doors to new

³⁵⁶ IOSCO, *Cybersecurity Protocols and Market Integrity: A Global Approach*, International Organization of Securities Commissions, 2022, pp. 35-40.

³⁵⁷ S. Kumar, *India's Role in Regulating the Digital Finance Sector*, *Indian Journal of Financial Regulation*, 2023, pp. 58-61.

³⁵⁸ M. Sharma, *Global Regulatory Cooperation in the Digital Finance Era*, *International Financial Law Review*, 2021, pp. 34-37.

³⁵⁹ A. Patel, *International Collaboration in Tackling Digital Financial Crimes*, *Journal of Global Crime Prevention*, 2022, pp. 112-115.

³⁶⁰ P. Gupta, *Information Sharing and Digital Fraud Prevention: A Cross-Border Approach*, *Global Law and Technology Review*, 2024, pp. 23-26.

³⁶¹ S. Reddy, *Leveraging AI and Blockchain to Combat Digital Fraud*, *Technology and Law Journal*, 2023, pp. 98-102.



risks, primarily corporate frauds. The regulators need to transform fast into the new technologies as well as into sophisticated fraud tactics as this landscape is evolving itself. The RBI and SEBI are trying to control digital fraud to such vast extents. But there is much to be observed in terms of the establishment of proper structures and frameworks for decentralized financial systems. The risks of digital finance can best be countered if regulators adopt emerging technologies like AI and blockchain individually and collectively through international collaboration. Only proactive, coordinated action will put the whole sector of digital finance safely, transparently, and inclusively on the table.

REFERENCES :

Books

- 1.Raghuram Rajan, *Fault Lines: How Hidden Fractures Still Threaten the World Economy*, Princeton University Press, 2010.
- 2.Andreas M. Antonopoulos, *Mastering Bitcoin: Unlocking Digital Cryptocurrencies*, O'Reilly Media, 2017.
- 3.Brian D. Fath, *The Future of Financial Regulation in the Digital Age*, Oxford University Press, 2020.

Journal Articles

- 4.Shalini Kumar, *India's Role in Regulating the Digital Finance Sector*, *Indian Journal of Financial Regulation*, 2023, pp. 58-61.
- 5.Sushil Sharma, *Global Regulatory Cooperation in the Digital Finance Era*, *International Financial Law Review*, 2021, pp. 34-37.
- 6.Pradeep Gupta, *Information Sharing and Digital Fraud Prevention: A Cross-Border Approach*, *Global Law and Technology Review*, 2024, pp. 23-26.
- 7.Sumit Reddy, *Leveraging AI and Blockchain to Combat Digital Fraud*, *Technology and Law Journal*, 2023, pp. 98-102.

Legal Cases

- 8.Punjab National Bank (PNB) Fraud Case (2018), India.
- 9.Yes Bank Crisis (2020), India.

Reports

- 10.PlusToken Ponzi Scheme, 2018.
- 11.Financial Action Task Force (FATF), *Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach to Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers*, FATF, 2019.
- 12.World Bank, *Digital Financial Services and the Rise of Financial Fraud: Policy Recommendations*, World Bank, 2021.
- 13.International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO), *Cybersecurity in Securities Markets: A Global Approach*, IOSCO, 2020.

Online Articles and Reports

- 14.The Economic Times, *How India's Financial Sector is Tackling Digital Fraud*, January 2023.
- 15.The Blockchain Council, *Blockchain in Finance: A Catalyst for Transparency and Security*, 2022.

Government Publications

- 16.Reserve Bank of India (RBI), *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India*, RBI, 2021.
- 17.Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), *Annual Report on Securities Markets and Investor Protection*, SEBI, 2022.